

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,255	03/23/2000	NATALYA RAPOPORT	T5986PCT.US	3065
20450	7590 11/12/2002			
ALAN J. HOWARTH			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1909 SANDY, UT 84091-1909			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	04/509754	A ACAPART
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	WEBMA	W 1617
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet ben	/ neath the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ro expire 3	_MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta 	eply within the statutory minimum t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t	n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication .
Status	1-01	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7/23/02	
☐ This action is FINAL.	,	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 		
Disposition of Claims		•
Claim(s) 63-15		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Sclaim(s) 63-85	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	na Bovious BTO 049	÷
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•	disapproved
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are obje	• •	didapp.orod.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	• , , ,	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	,	;
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority t □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of 		•
□ received.	•	•
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numl □ received in this national stage application from the In 		
*Certified copies not received:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s) Inte	erview Summary, PTO-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	tice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9		ner
Offic	e Action Summary	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Application/Control Number: 09/509,255

Art Unit: 1617

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 69-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwon et al Pharm. Res. 12 (2) 1995, p. 192 (supplied by applicants).

Kwon et al teach PEO – Polybenzyl ASP micelles containing Adriamycin (Title, abstract.)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 63-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon et al (cited above) in view of Unger et al '430.

Kwon et al is discussed above.

Unger '430 teaches Delivery of Bioactive agents from vesicular species by rupturing them with ultrasound (column 28 lines 32-36). Micelles are specified (abstract).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to deliver the active agents in the micelles of Kwon et al by rupturing the micelles with ultrasound in view of Unger '430.

Application/Control Number: 09/509,255

Art Unit: 1617

As to the claimed enhancement of uptake and reduction of side effects, one of the ordinary skill would recognize such benefits would inure as a matter of course from local nature of the ultrasound application.

As to the claimed ruboxyl, it is argued that it is equivalent to doxorubicin. No criticality has been shown. In re Boesch 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claims 63-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants disclose the claimed micelles in the introduction. However, applicants' driet summary and detailed description disclose that applicants contemplated micelles comprising only triblock polymers at the time of filing.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Webman/LR October 23, 2002

TARAN EXAMINATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE